

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09.664,094	09.19/2000	Masayuki Mizuno	Q60884	5281	
7	590 10.31, 2002				
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			EXAMINER		
	ania Avenue NW C 20037-3213		MONDT, JO	MONDT, JOHANNES P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/664,094	MIZUNO, MASAYUI	KI
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johannes P Mondt	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause <sup>-</sup>		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	•		ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappi	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
	WAIHAN J. CLATRII		
SUPER	VISORY PATENT EXAMINER HNOLOGY CENTER 2800		





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment of the claim language raises U.S.C. 112, first paragraph issues because the wall of a through hole in a signal line is by necessity electrically connected to said signal line, said wall being by definition an interface of the through-hole and said signal line, and said signal line being electrically conductive. Therefore, the formulation of the further limitation would have to be significantly modified in view of the requirement of enablement. Applicant is reminded of the stated purpose in Toyoda et al (see Abstract in English) to control impedance in transmission lines such as a microstrip line being formed throughout a surface-side and rear-side signal line by providing through-holes in it.